metal-organic papers

Acta Crystallographica Section E Structure Reports Online

ISSN 1600-5368

Charles B. Duke III,^a Charles Ross II^b and Theodore J. Burkey^a*

^aDepartment of Chemistry, University of Memphis, 213 Smith Chemistry Building, Memphis, TN 38152-3550, USA, and ^bSt. Jude Children's Research Hospital, Department of Structural Biology, MS 311, 332 N. Lauderdale, Memphis, TN 38105-2794 USA

Correspondence e-mail: tburkey@memphis.edu

Key indicators

Single-crystal X-ray study T = 100 KMean σ (C–C) = 0.004 Å R factor = 0.041 wR factor = 0.120 Data-to-parameter ratio = 12.2

For details of how these key indicators were automatically derived from the article, see http://journals.iucr.org/e.

$[\eta^6$ -2-Benzoyl-2-(1,2-dihydropyridin-2-yl-idene)acetonitrile]tricarbonylchromium(0)

The structure of the title compound, $[Cr(C_{14}H_{10}N_2O)(CO)_3]$, at 173 K has molecules arranged in a herring-bone pattern. The side chain of the arenechromium unit is planar and tilted by approximately 24° to the benzene ring.

Received 21 July 2006 Accepted 31 July 2006

Comment

The structure of the title compound, (I) (Fig. 1), reveals a Crarene centroid distance of 1.724 Å and all Cr-C(arene) distances are approximately equal (Table 1). The three carbonyl groups are equidistant from Cr. Displacement parameters of carbonyl atoms O3 and O4 suggest rotation around the metal, while O2 appears to swing beneath it, affecting the Cr-CO vibrational modes. The three metal carbonyls adopt a staggered conformation with respect to the benzene ring C atoms (Fig. 2), and one of them is directly eclipsed with the side-chain carbonyl group. However, for monosubstituted arenetricarbonylchromium complexes (Morris, 1995), an eclipsed conformation is usually favored.



Treatment of tricarbonyl(η^6 -methylbenzoate)chromium(0) with 2-cyanomethylpyridinyl sodium unexpectedly afforded (I) rather than tautomeric forms (II) or (III). Atom H15 was refined isotropically and unrestrained, and found to be bound to N2 at 0.79 (3) Å. It is involved in an intramolecular N2–H15…O1 hydrogen bond [N2…O1 = 2.579 (3) Å, O1… H15 = 1.92 (3) Å and N2–H15…O1 = 140 (3)°]. Further support for the assignment of tautomer (I) is lent by the extremely high chemical shift of 17.3 p.p.m. in CDCl₃ recorded in the ¹H NMR spectrum. This is much further downfield than standard shifts for hydroxy or methine protons as found in the other two tautomers. This tatutomer form with an intramolecular N–H…O hydrogen bond is observed in the free arene, the 2-acylalkylated pyridine derivative (Abramovitch *et al.*, 1976).

© 2006 International Union of Crystallography

All rights reserved



Figure 1

The molecular structure of (I) with 50% probability displacement ellipsoids, showing the out-of-plane positioned side chain relative to the benzenechromium unit.



Figure 2

Top view of (I), showing the staggered conformation of the tricarbonylchromium fragment relative to the arene side chain.

Experimental

Handling of arenechromium solutions was under an inert atmosphere using deoxygenated solvents. Synthesis of tricarbonyl(η^6 -methylbenzoate)chromium(0) has been described elsewhere (Mahaffey & Pauson, 1990). NaH (0.89 g, 2.5 mmol, 60% in mineral oil) in tetrahydrofuran (THF) (5 ml) was stirred at 263 K before adding 2cyanomethylpyridine (0.225 ml, 2.0 mmol) by microsyringe. After 2 h at 263 K, tricarbonyl(η^6 -methylbenzoate)chromium(0) (0.54 g, 2.0 mmol) dissolved in THF (5 ml) was added by syringe. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred overnight. After concentration, the solids were dissolved in THF (5 ml) before H₂O (15 ml) was added, and the mixture was extracted with 15 and then 40 ml portions of EtOAc. The combined organic extracts were dried in vacuo, yielding a red solid that was recrystallised from MeOH to give 50 mg (20%) of (I) in yellow flakes. Airstable, diffraction-quality crystals were obtained by recrystallisation from a solvent mixture of approximately 1:2 Et₂O/hexanes at 253 K.

Crystal data

$[Cr(C_{14}H_{10}N_2O)(CO)_3]$	Z = 8
$M_r = 358.27$	$D_x = 1.635 \text{ Mg m}^{-3}$
Orthorhombic, Pbca	Cu $K\alpha$ radiation
a = 13.6266 (4) Å	$\mu = 6.71 \text{ mm}^{-1}$
b = 10.3234 (3) Å	T = 100 K
c = 20.6939 (6) Å	Block, yellow
$V = 2911.07 (15) \text{ Å}^3$	$0.12 \times 0.06 \times 0.03 \text{ mm}$

Data collection

```
Bruker Proteum diffractometer \varphi and \omega scans
Absorption correction: multi-scan (SADABS; Bruker, 2000)
T_{\min} = 0.500, T_{\max} = 0.824
```

Refinement

Refinement on F^2 $R[F^2 > 2\sigma(F^2)] = 0.041$ $wR(F^2) = 0.120$ S = 1.092700 reflections 221 parameters H atoms treated by a mixture of independent and constrained

refinement

55017 measured reflections 2700 independent reflections 2456 reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$ $R_{\text{int}} = 0.057$ $\theta_{\text{max}} = 69.1^{\circ}$

$$\begin{split} &w = 1/[\sigma^2(F_o^2) + (0.0675P)^2 \\ &+ 4.6385P] \\ &where \ P = (F_o^2 + 2F_c^2)/3 \\ (\Delta/\sigma)_{\rm max} < 0.001 \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm max} = 0.83 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \\ \Delta\rho_{\rm min} = -0.78 \ {\rm e} \ {\rm \AA}^{-3} \end{split}$$

Table 1			
Selected	geometric parameters	(Å,	°).

Cr1-C15	1.854 (3)	Cr1-C4	2.227 (3)
Cr1-C16	1.859 (3)	Cr1-C1	2.228 (3)
Cr1-C17	1.876 (3)	Cr1-C2	2.238 (3)
Cr1-C6	2.210 (3)	Cr1-C3	2.240 (3)
Cr1-C5	2.212 (3)	O3-C16	1.112 (4)
C15-Cr1-C16	84.52 (12)	C16-Cr1-C17	86.18 (13)
C15-Cr1-C17	86.66 (12)		
C6-C1-C7-O1	-153.5 (3)	O1-C7-C8-C10	4.8 (4)
C2-C1-C7-O1	21.4 (3)	C1-C7-C8-C10	-175.9(2)
C6-C1-C7-C8	27.2 (4)	N2-C10-C8-C7	4.4 (4)
C2-C1-C7-C8	-158.0(2)	C11-C10-C8-C7	-176.3(2)
01-C7-C8-C9	-169.3(2)	N2-C10-C8-C9	178.9 (2)
C1-C7-C8-C9	10.1 (4)	C11-C10-C8-C9	-1.9 (4)

Refinement of all H atoms was done using isotropic idealised riding models in all cases except for the nitrogen-bound H15, which was refined isotropically with free coordinates. Forcing the position of the N-bound hydrogen on to the oxygen produced higher wR2, R1, and godness of fit values.

Data collection: *PROTEUM2* (Bruker, 2005); cell refinement: *SAINT* (Bruker, 1998); data reduction: *SAINT*; program(s) used to solve structure: *SHELXS97* (Sheldrick, 1997); program(s) used to refine structure: *SHELXL97* (Sheldrick, 1997); molecular graphics: *ORTEP-3* (Farrugia, 1997) and Raster3d (Merritt & Bacon, 1997); software used to prepare material for publication: *WinGX* (Farrugia, 1999).

CBD acknowledges stipend support provided by the National Institute of Standards and Technology Grant 70NANB4H1093 and the National Science Foundation Grant CHE-0227475. Support of this research by the Cancer Center Support CORE Grant P30 CA-21765 and the American Lebanese Syrian Associated Charities (ALSAC) is gratefully acknowledged by CRR.

References

Abramovitch, R. A., Grins, G., Rogers, R. B. & Shinkai, I. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 5671–5677.

Bruker (1998). SAINT. Version 6.02a. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.

- Bruker (2000). SADABS. Version 2.03. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Bruker (2005). PROTEUM2. Version 1.0. Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, Wisconsin, USA.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1997). J. Appl. Cryst. 30, 565.
- Farrugia, L. J. (1999). J. Appl. Cryst. 32, 837-838.
- Mahaffy, C. A. L. & Pauson, P. L. (1990). Inorg. Synth. 28, 136-140.
- Merritt, E. A. & Bacon, D. J. (1997). Methods in Enzymology Vol. 276, Macromolecular Crystallography, Part B, edited by C. W. Carter Jr & R. M. Sweet, pp. 505–524. New York: Academic Press.

Morris, M. J. (1995). Comprehensive Organometallic Chemistry II, Vol. 5, edited by J. D. Atwood, pp. 471–549. New York: Pergamon.

Sheldrick, G. M. (1997). SHELXS97 and SHELXL97. University of Göttingen, Germany.